

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 **MARIA QUIJANO,**) **NO. CV 14-716-GW (KS)**
11)
12 **Petitioner,**)
13 **v.**) **ORDER ACCEPTING FINAL FINDINGS**
14 **DERRAL ADAMS, Warden,**) **AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED**
15 **Respondent.**) **STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**
16 _____)
17

18 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition for Writ of Habeas
19 Corpus (“Petition”), all of the records herein, the Final Report and Recommendation of United
20 States Magistrate Judge (“Report”), and Petitioner’s Objections to the Magistrate Judge’s
21 Report and Recommendation (“Objections”). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Fed.
22 R. Civ. P. 72(b), the Court has conducted a *de novo* review of those portions of the Report to
23 which objections have been stated. Having completed its review, the Court accepts the findings
24 and recommendations set forth in the Report.

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

1 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that: (1) the Petition is DENIED; and (2) Judgment shall
2 be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.
3

4
5 DATED: May 16, 2018
6



GEORGE H. WU
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE